SR1 – Inertial Kinematics, Time Dilation, and Acceleration on Scalar–Conformal NUVO Space

Preprint, Version 1.0[*]

Rickey W. Austin
St Claire Scientific Research, Development, and Publishing

Notation and Conventions

- $\mathcal{M}$ denotes the spacetime manifold.
- η denotes the reference Lorentzian metric (typically Minkowski in a global chart).
- g denotes the physical metric.
- The scalar field $\Lambda : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is the NUVO modulation field.
- The physical metric is scalar–conformal:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^2 \, \eta_{\mu\nu}.$$

- $\Lambda_0 > 0$ denotes the baseline scalar availability level supported by the intrinsic delivery structure of the underlying field. In the absence of localized structural occupation the scalar field satisfies $\Lambda(x) = \Lambda_0$.
- The dimensionless scalar diagnostic is

$$\lambda(x) := \frac{\Lambda(x)}{\Lambda_0}.$$

- The scalar field represents the *locally available structural capacity* of the underlying delivery field. Localized structures may reduce this availability through occupation or transport, but the intrinsic delivery baseline Λ_0 remains fixed.
- Greek indices $\mu, \nu, \ldots$ range over spacetime coordinates $0, 1, 2, 3$.
- We use the Einstein summation convention unless explicitly stated otherwise.

Remark 0.1. *Unless otherwise stated, the background signature is* $(-, +, +, +)$.

[*]Bibliography is provisional. Cross-references to companion NUVO-series papers (M-, SR-, Q-, QB-, QM-series) will be updated with Zenodo DOIs in subsequent versions.

This manuscript is mathematical in scope. It establishes definitions, structural identities, and variational consequences within a scalar–conformal setting. Sector reductions and correspondence limits are recorded only when explicitly stated as additional assumptions and are not used as premises in derivations.No claim of full dynamical equivalence to general relativity, quantum mechanics, or classical field theories is made at the level of the present foundational development. Where later papers compare limiting behavior, those comparisons are presented as correspondence targets rather than as identity statements.The NUVO program is organized as a sequence of internally consistent mathematical papers. Foundational papers (M-series) fix the scalar–conformal geometry, variational structure, and notation. Subsequent papers treat sectoral reductions (gravity, exchange, quantization, and bound-state structure) as controlled specializations of the foundational framework.**Scalar ontology.** The scalar field Λ represents the *locally available structural capacity* of an underlying delivery field permeating spacetime. The baseline level Λ_0 denotes the availability supported by this intrinsic delivery structure in the absence of structural occupation. Localized structures or transport processes may reduce the available capacity relative to this baseline, but the intrinsic delivery baseline itself is not altered. Consequently the scalar field measures the *available portion* of structural capacity rather than the intrinsic production of the underlying field.

Abstract

We develop the kinematic correspondence between scalar–conformal NUVO space and special relativity, establishing inertial motion, relativistic time dilation, and accelerated transport as successive structural regimes of a single underlying framework. The physical metric is given by the scalar–conformal relation $g_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^2(x)\eta_{\mu\nu}$, where $\Lambda(x)$ represents locally available structural capacity, and persistent matter structures are modeled as anchored configurations sustained by admissible boundary flux states.

In the inertial regime, where the scalar diagnostic field is spatially uniform, the scalar–conformal metric reduces to a constant conformal deformation of Minkowski spacetime. Null structure, invariant propagation speed, and Lorentz symmetry of the reference geometry are preserved, and persistent structures with steady boundary flux states follow geodesic worldlines with constant four-velocity. Special relativity therefore emerges as the inertial limit of the scalar–conformal framework rather than as an independent postulate.

Within this inertial geometry, persistent anchored structures support internal structural cycles whose period defines proper time. Steady transport modifies the admissible internal routing available to these cycles, and an invariant constraint linking internal routing and external motion to the propagation scale c yields the Lorentz dilation factor $T(v) = \gamma T_0$ directly. Proper time is identified with the accumulated execution of internal cycles, and relativistic time dilation arises as the redistribution of admissible transport routing between internal structure and external motion.

For non-steady regimes, acceleration corresponds to evolution of the boundary flux distribution when its instantaneous presentation no longer matches an admissible steady configuration. The steady-state Lorentz dilation relation applies asymptotically before and after such transitions, with internal cycles becoming dynamically evolving quantities during them. The observed lifetime extension of high-energy muons illustrates this picture operationally. Continuous boundary evolution in regions of nonuniform scalar field connects the SR-correspondence to the gravitational dynamics of the M-series, while the internal cycles introduced here serve as the structural precursor of phase evolution governing coherence phenomena in the Q-series.

1 Introduction

The preceding papers of the M-series [1–3] established the scalar–conformal framework of NUVO space, in which spacetime is modeled as a Lorentzian manifold equipped with a scalar diagnostic field $\Lambda(x) > 0$ representing locally available structural capacity. The physical metric takes the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^2(x)\,\eta_{\mu\nu}.$$

Persistent matter structures are modeled as anchored configurations sustained by admissible boundary flux states [4,5]. The state of such a structure is encoded in the boundary flux distribution Φ_n presented to it by the surrounding capacity delivery field, subject to the invariant total intake condition

$$\int_{\partial S} \Phi_n \, dA = mc^2.$$

Inertial persistence corresponds to a stationary boundary state, while proper acceleration corresponds to time-evolution of this distribution.

The purpose of the present paper is to develop the kinematic correspondence between this framework and special relativity. The analysis proceeds in three stages, organized as parts of a single argument:

- **Inertial geometry.** When the scalar field is spatially uniform, the scalar–conformal metric reduces to a constant conformal deformation of Minkowski spacetime. Null structure, invariant propagation speed, and Lorentz symmetry are preserved, and persistent structures with steady boundary flux states follow inertial worldlines.
- **Time dilation.** Within this geometry, persistent structures support internal structural cycles whose period defines proper time. Steady transport modifies the admissible internal routing available to these cycles, and an invariant routing constraint yields the Lorentz dilation factor $T(v) = \gamma T_0$.
- **Acceleration as transition.** Non-steady transport corresponds to evolution of the boundary flux distribution between admissible steady configurations. Acceleration is the structural adjustment required by this transition, not a primitive kinematic input.

The construction is strictly correspondential: relativistic kinematics is recovered as a particular regime of the scalar–conformal framework, without introducing kinematic, probabilistic, or measurement-theoretic postulates. All results that follow rest on the geometric and boundary-flux structure established in earlier papers of the program.

2 Uniform Scalar States and Minkowski Reduction

We begin with the simplest regime of the scalar–conformal framework: the configuration in which the scalar diagnostic field is spatially uniform throughout the manifold.

2.1 The uniform regime

Consider the regime in which

$$\nabla_\mu \Lambda = 0,$$

so that

$$\Lambda(x) = \Lambda_*, \qquad g_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda_*^2\,\eta_{\mu\nu}.$$

The physical metric is therefore a constant conformal rescaling of the reference Lorentzian metric.

2.2 Coordinate rescaling

Introducing rescaled coordinates [6]

$$\tilde{x}^\mu := \Lambda_*\, x^\mu,$$

the line element becomes

$$g_{\mu\nu}\, dx^\mu dx^\nu = \eta_{\mu\nu}\, d\tilde{x}^\mu d\tilde{x}^\nu.$$

The geometry is therefore globally diffeomorphic to Minkowski spacetime: the constant conformal factor is absorbed entirely into a coordinate rescaling, leaving the metric structure indistinguishable from that of the reference Lorentzian background.

2.3 Remark on effective modulation

Although the ambient scalar field is uniform in this regime, transported structures may still experience an *effective* scalar modulation distinct from the ambient value due to local structural adjustment. This distinction does not affect the geometric reduction discussed in the present section, but plays a central role in the derivation of time dilation in Part B and acceleration response in Part C.

3 Null Structure, Invariant Speed, and Lorentz Symmetry

The Minkowski reduction of the previous section preserves the causal content of the reference geometry. We record this preservation in three related forms.

3.1 Null structure

Null directions of the scalar–conformal metric satisfy

$$g_{\mu\nu}\, k^\mu k^\nu = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \eta_{\mu\nu}\, k^\mu k^\nu = 0,$$

since the conformal factor Λ_*^2 is positive and constant. Light cones therefore coincide with those of the reference metric, and the causal structure of spacetime is preserved.

3.2 Invariant propagation speed

The invariant propagation speed c is defined by transport along null directions of the scalar–conformal metric. In the uniform regime this coincides identically with the causal propagation speed of Minkowski spacetime. The scalar–conformal framework therefore introduces no modification of c at the level of inertial kinematics; all variations in propagation behavior arise only when the scalar field becomes spatially nonuniform.

3.3 Lorentz symmetry

Lorentz transformations preserve $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ identically and leave the constant conformal factor Λ_* unaffected. They therefore preserve the scalar–conformal metric in the uniform regime,

$$g_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow g_{\mu\nu},$$

and the full Lorentz symmetry of the reference geometry is inherited without modification.

4 Inertial Worldlines

A persistent anchored structure with steady boundary flux state satisfies

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\Phi_n = 0,$$

and follows a geodesic of the scalar–conformal metric. In the uniform regime, the geodesic equation

$$\frac{du^\mu}{d\tau} + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}(g)\, u^\alpha u^\beta = 0$$

reduces, in the rescaled coordinates $\tilde{x}^\mu$, to

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{du^\mu}{d\tau} = 0.$$

The four-velocity is therefore constant along the trajectory, and inertial motion corresponds to constant-velocity transport through the manifold.

This completes the inertial geometric correspondence: persistent structures with steady boundary flux states, transported through a uniform scalar field, follow Minkowski geodesics with constant four-velocity. Special relativity emerges as the inertial limit of the scalar–conformal framework rather than as an independent postulate.

5 Persistent Structures as Internal Clocks

The geometric correspondence developed above establishes that inertial motion in the uniform scalar regime is indistinguishable from inertial motion in Minkowski spacetime. To recover the kinematic content of special relativity, however, more is required: in particular, an account of proper time and how it depends on the transport state of a structure. The boundary-flux ontology supplies the necessary structural element.

5.1 Internal closed-loop structure

Persistent matter is not modeled as a point particle within the NUVO framework. Each persistent structure is a bundled configuration whose admissibility requires the existence of internal closed-loop transport satisfying the holonomic coherence condition of the M-series [7, 8]. These internal closed-loop processes constitute the *internal structural cycles* of the configuration.

5.2 Rest-frame cycle period

Let a persistent structure possess a characteristic internal closed-loop path of proper length L_0. Directed transport along this path proceeds at the invariant propagation scale c, since closed-loop transport on the scalar–conformal manifold respects the same null-cone structure as external propagation. The rest-frame period of the cycle is therefore

$$T_0 = \frac{L_0}{c}.$$

5.3 Proper time as cycle accumulation

Repeated traversal of the internal cycle defines a natural local clock intrinsic to the structure. Proper time is identified with the accumulated count of completed cycles:

$$\tau = N\,T_0,$$

where N is the number of cycles executed along the worldline. This identification is structural rather than metric: proper time is what the persistent configuration's internal cycle counts, not an externally defined parameter of the worldline.

6 Transport State and the Invariant Routing Constraint

Suppose the structure is now transported with constant velocity v through a uniform scalar background. The boundary flux state remains steady — the structure persists — but the internal closed-loop transport must now coexist with external translation of the configuration through the manifold.

6.1 Effective routing rate under transport

Let $u(v)$ denote the effective rate at which directed transport remains available to the internal structural cycle in the presence of external motion at velocity v. The cycle period under transport becomes

$$T(v) = \frac{L_0}{u(v)}.$$

At rest, $u(0) = c$ and $T(0) = T_0$. The question is how u depends on v.

6.2 The invariant routing constraint

Both internal and external transport are bounded by the same invariant propagation scale c, since both proceed along null-respecting directions of the scalar–conformal metric. Internal routing and external motion therefore represent competing uses of a single admissible transport capacity for the structure.

The simplest invariant constraint consistent with this competition, with rotational symmetry, and with saturation at c is the quadratic relation

$$u(v)^2 + v^2 = c^2.$$

This expresses that total admissible transport capacity, measured at the invariant scale c, is partitioned between internal routing and external motion. At $v = 0$, all capacity is available to internal routing; as $v \to c$, internal routing approaches zero, and the cycle period diverges.

6.3 Interpretation via effective scalar modulation

The reduction of internal routing rate under transport may equivalently be expressed as a modification of the effective scalar modulation governing internal transport. Although the ambient scalar field $\Lambda(x)$ remains uniform, steady motion through the manifold induces an effective modulation distinct from the ambient value, and the internal cycle responds to this effective modulation rather than to the ambient field directly. The two pictures — partitioned routing capacity and effective scalar modulation — are equivalent characterizations of the same structural phenomenon.

7 The Lorentz Factor and Proper Time

The invariant routing constraint determines the cycle period under transport directly.

7.1 Solution of the routing constraint

Solving for u yields

$$u(v) = \sqrt{c^2 - v^2},$$

and substituting into the cycle period gives

$$T(v) = \frac{L_0}{\sqrt{c^2 - v^2}} = \frac{L_0/c}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}.$$

Introducing the Lorentz factor

$$\gamma := \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}},$$

we obtain

$$\boxed{T(v) = \gamma\, T_0.}$$

This is the Lorentz dilation factor of special relativity [9].

7.2 Recovery of the proper-time relation

Suppose N internal cycles are executed along a worldline. The proper time is $\tau = NT_0$, while the coordinate time elapsed is $t = NT(v) = N\gamma T_0 = \gamma\tau$. We therefore recover the familiar relation

$$t = \gamma\tau, \qquad d\tau = \frac{dt}{\gamma},$$

without invoking metric distance along the worldline as a primitive quantity. Proper time has been derived from the count of internal structural cycles, with the Lorentz factor arising from the routing constraint imposed by the invariant propagation scale.

7.3 Structural interpretation

Time dilation in the SR-correspondence has a transparent structural meaning within this framework: external motion consumes a portion of the admissible transport capacity available to the structure, leaving less for the internal closed-loop cycles that count proper time. The slowing of time under transport is not a property of time itself, but the structural consequence of redistributing finite routing capacity between internal and external transport channels.

8 Acceleration as Boundary-State Transition

The analysis to this point has been confined to steady transport states: structures with stationary boundary flux distributions, moving at constant velocity through a uniform scalar field. Within that restriction, the kinematic content of special relativity is fully recovered. To complete the SR-correspondence, however, we must also account for non-steady transport — regimes in which the velocity of a persistent structure changes along its worldline.

The boundary-flux dynamics of M7 and M7.5 [5, 10] identified proper acceleration with time-evolution of the boundary flux distribution of a persistent structure:

$$\mathbf{a} \iff \frac{\partial \Phi_n}{\partial \tau} \neq 0.$$

We now place this identification within the SR-correspondence.

8.1 The inertial condition revisited

A structure in steady transport satisfies

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial \Phi_n}{\partial \tau} = 0,$$

and the analysis of the previous sections applies in full: the structure follows an inertial worldline, and its internal cycles execute with constant period $T(v) = \gamma T_0$.

8.2 Departure from steady transport

When the velocity of the structure changes, $dv/dt \neq 0$, the boundary flux distribution presented to the structure no longer matches the admissible steady configuration corresponding to the instantaneous velocity. The structure must undergo boundary-state evolution to restore admissibility:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_n}{\partial \tau} \neq 0.$$

This evolution is the structural process of acceleration.

8.3 Directional response

Boundary-state evolution proceeds in the direction required to restore compatibility between the actual flux distribution and the admissible steady configuration. The direction of this evolution defines the direction of acceleration — not as a primitive vector but as the gradient of structural mismatch in boundary-state space.

8.4 Internal response during transition

During boundary-state evolution, the internal structural cycles themselves become time-dependent. The cycle period at proper time τ is governed by the instantaneous velocity:

$$T = T(v(\tau)) = \gamma(v(\tau))\, T_0.$$

The steady-state Lorentz dilation relation $T(v) = \gamma T_0$ therefore applies pointwise along an accelerated worldline, with γ evaluated at the local instantaneous velocity. Globally, however, the dilation pattern is no longer described by a single Lorentz factor, since the structure passes through a continuous family of admissible steady states between the asymptotic regimes before and after the transition.

8.5 Acceleration is not primitive

This identification carries a structural rather than kinematic meaning. Acceleration in the SR-correspondence does not act on the structure through an external force; it is the manifestation of the structural adjustment required to maintain admissible boundary intake under changing transport conditions. The classical kinematic notion $\mathbf{a} = d\mathbf{v}/dt$ remains valid as an effective descriptor, but its underlying origin lies in boundary-state evolution rather than in primitive force-driven dynamics.

9 Operational Example: The Muon Lifetime

The structural picture developed above admits a direct empirical illustration. The muon is a persistent matter configuration whose decay corresponds to the termination of an internal structural process governed by the configuration's intrinsic cycle. The rest-frame mean lifetime is [11]

$$T_0 \approx 2.197 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s.}$$

When the muon is transported at velocity v relative to the laboratory, the internal cycle governing decay is subject to the routing constraint of Section 6, and the lifetime observed in the laboratory frame becomes

$$T(v) = \gamma \, T_0.$$

For high-energy muons produced in cosmic-ray air showers and in accelerator beams, γ ranges from values of order ten to several thousand, and the corresponding lifetime extension is observed in close quantitative agreement with this relation [11].

Within the present framework, this lifetime extension is not an abstract consequence of metric structure on the worldline: it is the direct expression of routing-constraint reduction acting on the internal cycle that governs muon decay. The same mechanism that produces the Lorentz factor for any persistent structure produces it for the muon; the muon's role here is operational, providing a concrete persistent system for which the rest-frame cycle time is known and the dilated cycle time is measured.

10 Bridges Forward: Gravitational Dynamics and Phase Coherence

The SR-correspondence developed in this paper has been confined to uniform scalar backgrounds. We close by indicating how the framework extends in two natural directions, neither of which is developed here.

10.1 Continuous boundary evolution and gravitational dynamics

When the scalar field is spatially nonuniform, $\nabla_\mu \Lambda \neq 0$, the boundary flux distribution presented to a persistent structure varies continuously along its worldline. The structure's boundary state therefore undergoes continuous evolution, and the full apparatus of boundary-state dynamics developed in M3 and M7.5 [3, 5] applies. The gravitational acceleration recovered in the weak-field limit of M7.5 is the natural extension of the present acceleration-as-transition picture from instantaneous transitions to continuous evolution under a spatially varying ambient scalar field.

10.2 Internal cycles and phase coherence

The internal structural cycles introduced in Part B furnish a periodic process intrinsic to each persistent structure. Within the Q-series correspondence [12], this periodicity supplies the structural basis for phase accumulation, with the integrated cycle count along the worldline serving as the phase variable governing coherence and interaction phenomena. Variations in cycle rate under transport correspond to variations in phase evolution, and the time-dilation relation derived here translates directly into the relativistic phase relations used in subsequent quantum analyses.

These connections are stated here for orientation only. The full gravitational and phase-coherence developments belong to subsequent papers of the M- and Q-series respectively.

11 Summary

We have established the kinematic correspondence between the scalar–conformal NUVO framework and special relativity in three successive structural regimes.

In the inertial regime, the uniform scalar field $\Lambda(x) = \Lambda_*$ reduces the scalar–conformal metric to a constant rescaling of Minkowski spacetime. Null structure, invariant propagation speed, and Lorentz symmetry are preserved, and persistent structures with steady boundary flux states follow constant-velocity geodesic worldlines. Special relativity emerges as the inertial limit of the framework.

Within this geometry, persistent structures are not point particles but anchored configurations whose internal closed-loop cycles define proper time. Steady transport at velocity v partitions a single invariant transport capacity, fixed by c, between internal routing and external motion. The constraint $u(v)^2 + v^2 = c^2$ yields the cycle period $T(v) = \gamma T_0$ directly, and the relativistic proper-time relation $t = \gamma\tau$ follows from cycle accumulation.

When velocity varies along the worldline, the boundary flux distribution no longer matches its admissible steady configuration, and the structure undergoes boundary-state evolution. Acceleration is identified with this evolution rather than treated as a primitive kinematic input. The steady-state Lorentz factor applies pointwise along accelerated trajectories, and the muon lifetime extension provides a direct operational illustration.

The construction is consistent and complete at the level of the SR-correspondence. Its extensions — continuous boundary evolution in nonuniform scalar fields, and the role of internal cycles in phase-based coherence — belong to the gravitational and quantum sectors of the program.

References

[1] Rickey W. Austin. M1: Scalar–conformal geometry and the variational structure of the scalar capacity field. NUVO M-series. St Claire Scientific Research, Development, and Publishing. Zenodo DOI to be assigned., 2025.

[2] Rickey W. Austin. M2: Structural capacity availability and the interpretation of the canonical nuvo equation. NUVO M-series. St Claire Scientific Research, Development, and Publishing. Zenodo DOI to be assigned., 2025.

[3] Rickey W. Austin. M3: Persistent depletion structures and the gravitational sector of the scalar–conformal framework. NUVO M-series. St Claire Scientific Research, Development, and Publishing. Zenodo DOI to be assigned., 2025.

[4] Rickey W. Austin. M6.5: Anchors, capacity delivery, and flux imbalance in scalar–conformal nuvo space. NUVO M-series. St Claire Scientific Research, Development, and Publishing. Zenodo DOI to be assigned., 2025.

[5] Rickey W. Austin. M7.5: Boundary flux evolution law on scalar–conformal nuvo space. NUVO M-series. St Claire Scientific Research, Development, and Publishing. Zenodo DOI to be assigned., 2025.

[6] Robert M. Wald. *General Relativity.* University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984.

[7] Rickey W. Austin. M5: Coherent cyclic redistribution and discrete structural states. NUVO M-series. St Claire Scientific Research, Development, and Publishing. Zenodo DOI to be assigned., 2025.

[8] Rickey W. Austin. M6: Bundled loop structures and persistent matter on scalar–conformal nuvo space. NUVO M-series. St Claire Scientific Research, Development, and Publishing. Zenodo DOI to be assigned., 2025.

[9] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz. *The Classical Theory of Fields*, volume 2 of *Course of Theoretical Physics*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 4th edition, 1975.

[10] Rickey W. Austin. M7: Bundle transitions and reconfiguration on scalar–conformal nuvo space. NUVO M-series. St Claire Scientific Research, Development, and Publishing. Zenodo DOI to be assigned., 2025.

[11] Particle Data Group, R. L. Workman, et al. Review of particle physics. *Physical Review D*, 110:030001, 2024.

[12] Rickey W. Austin. Q1: Holonomy quantization and exchange-cycle closure. NUVO Q-series. St Claire Scientific Research, Development, and Publishing. Zenodo DOI to be assigned., 2025.